

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10/705,725 | 11/10/2003 | Mark Faust | 14485,0154US01 | 5023 |
| 25552 75500 05/27/2009 MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER | |
| MINNEAPOL | IS, MN 55402-0903 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3763 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/27/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/705,725 FAUST ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit CHRISTOPHER D. KOHARSKI 3763 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 February 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 12-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-9,12-14 and 17-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 31 Information Disciosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/14/2008 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/705,725 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgements

The Examiner acknowledges the reply filed 2/16/2009 in which claims 1, 9, 15, 17 and 22 were amended. Currently claims 1-9, 12-22 are pending for examination in this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) that was submitted on 11/14/2008 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the information disclosure statement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - . Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mogensen et al. (USPN6,830,562) in view of Begley (USPN4,805,791).

Application/Control Number: 10/705,725

Art Unit: 3763

Regarding claims 15-16 Mogensen et al. discloses a device (Figures 1-4, element 28) for inserting a subcutaneous infusion device into skin of a patient, comprising: a housing (28); a needle (12A) coupled to the housing (28) for receiving a cannula (26) of a subcutaneous infusion device (14); and a cap (94) coupled to the housing; wherein the needle (12A) is inserted through the cannula (26) of the subcutaneous infusion device and is introduced into the skin of the patient to insert the cannula into the skin; and wherein the cap (94) is coupled to the housing prior to use to create a sterile environment (Figure 1), and wherein the cap is coupled to the housing after use to protect against exposure to the needle (Figure 4).

Mogensen et al. meets the claim limitations as described above except for the tamper evident cap.

However, Begley teaches band with lock ring and tamper evident cap.

Regarding claims 15-16, Begley teaches a cap (Figure 1, element 10) including a tamper-evident band (14) that is coupled to the cap (16) by a plurality of tabs (bridges near 14) extending about the taper-evident band, wherein the tabs break when the cap is removed from the housing, and wherein the tamper-evident band remains coupled to the housing when the cap is removed from the housing (col 2, In 1-25).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to incorporate the tamper evident cap of Begley to the system of Mogensen et al. to maintain sterility of the device and prevent tampering and reuse of the device. The references are analogous in the art and with the instant invention; therefore, a combination is proper. Therefore, one

Application/Control Number: 10/705,725

Art Unit: 3763

skilled in the art would have combined the teachings in the references in light of the disclosure of Bealey (col 1).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9, 12-14 and 17-22 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,725

Art Unit: 3763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Koharski whose telephone number is 571-272-7230. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30am to 2:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Date: 5/26/2009

/Christopher D Koharski/ Examiner, Art Unit 3763

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763